

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/754,806	01/02/2001	Q.Z. Liu	00CON122P-DIV1	00CON122P-DIV1 2716	
25700	7590 07/08/20	1	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	& FARJAMI LLP	NADA	NADAV, ORI		
	ALAMEDA AVENUE, SUITE 360 VIEJO, CA 92691 ART UNIT F		PAPER NUMBER		
	•		2811		

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>/</u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
000 4 41 0	09/754,806	LIU ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
कर् _{ष क} ्रात्मक्ष्मका करिक्ष हो । अन्तर्भ विकासद्वित्रसम्बद्धितिष्ठतः स्वरं । १८८८ हेल् । १८१८ वर्षः विकास	ori nadav	2811	1		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri df r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondenc a	address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered tim the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. communication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ag	oril 2004.				
	action is non-final."				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 24-26 and 28-48 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 24-26 and 28-48 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 (
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form F	PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	al Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	TO-152)		

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 24-26 and 28-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokogawa (Jp 402262308A) in view of Cornett et al. (6,069,397) and Ewen et al. (5,446,311).

Yokogawa teaches in figure 2 and related text a structure in a semiconductor chip, the structure comprising a first area of dielectric 4 (the first area of dielectric 4 is selected to be the area located above the white dielectric layer in the center of the structure, wherein two inductors 3 are located on both sides of the first area) having a first permeability, a second area of dielectric (the white dielectric area located in between inductor 3) having a second permeability, an inductor 3 comprising a square spiral (see figure 1) conductor patterned within the dielectric, patterned in the second area of the dielectric, wherein the material of the second area of the dielectric not being situated underneath the conductor, the first area of the dielectric not being situated underneath and not being situated over the conductor and the second area of the dielectric not being situated over the conductor, and wherein the conductor having first and second

Art Unit: 2811

terminals, the first and second terminals of the conductor being respectively first and second terminals of the inductor.

Yokogawa does not teach the material of the first area of dielectric 4 and the material of the second area of dielectric.

Cornett et al. teach in figure 2 and related text a structure in a semiconductor chip, the structure comprising a dielectric 217 having a first permeability, a permeability conversion magnetic oxide material 223 having a second permeability, the permeability conversion material (metal) being interspersed within the dielectric, wherein the second permeability is greater than the first permeability (column 2, lines 39-62), wherein a second permeability being achieved by interspersing a permeability conversion material (metal particles) within the second area of the dielectric, the permeability conversion material having a third permeability, the third permeability being greater than the first and second permeabilities, an inductor 110 comprising a square spiral (see figure 1) conductor patterned within the dielectric, wherein the permeability conversion material 223 not being situated underneath the conductor, the conductor having first and second terminals, the first and second terminals of the conductor being respectively first and second terminals of the inductor.

Cornett et al. do not explicitly state that the second permeability of magnetic oxide layers 221, 223. is greater than the first permeability of passivation/dielectric layer 217. That is, Cornett et al. do not state that the conventional passivation/dielectric layer 217 comprise silicon oxide. Ewen et al. teach in figure 3 a passivation/dielectric layer 2 comprising silicon oxide.

Art Unit: 2811

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use silicon oxide as the material for the first area of dielectric 4 and magnetic oxide as the material of the second area of dielectric (the white area between inductor 4) in Yokogawa's device, as taught by Cornett et al., in order to simplify the processing the steps of the making the device by insulating the device with a conventional silicon oxide insulating material, and in order to improve the magnetic characteristics of the inductor, respectively.

Regarding claims 29, 35 and 46, Yokogawa does not teach using a conductor being selected from the group consisting of copper, aluminum, and copper-aluminum alloy. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a conductor being selected from the group consisting of copper, aluminum, and copper-aluminum alloy in Yokogawa 's device in order to improve the conductivity of the device with a conventional conducting material. Note that substitution of materials is not patentable even when the substitution is new and useful. Safetran Systems Corp. v. Federal Sign & Signal Corp. (DC NIII, 1981) 215 USPQ 979.

Regarding the processing limitations recited in claims 38, 44 and 45 ("the permeability conversion material is interspersed in the second dielectric area by ion implantation and by sputtering when the first dielectric area is covered with photo resist"), these would not carry patentable weight in this claim drawn to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced. Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the

Art Unit: 2811

product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process; and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that the applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear.

and the second of the second o

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant argues that prior art does not teach a first area of the dielectric not being situated underneath and not being situated over the conductor.

The broad recitation of the claim does not require the dielectric not to be situated underneath and not being situated over the conductor. The claimed invention recites a first area of the dielectric not being situated underneath and not being situated over the conductor. The first area of dielectric 4 can be arbitrarily chosen to be the area located above the white dielectric layer in the center of the structure, wherein two inductors 3 are located on both sides of the first area. This area of dielectric 4 is not situated underneath and is not situated over the conductor, as claimed.

Art Unit: 2811

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC 2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722

and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used <u>only</u> for papers related to Group 2811 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Examiner Nadav* whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1660**. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 7 AM to 4 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Technology Center Receptionists** whose telephone number is **308-0956**

O.N. July 2, 2004

ORI NADAV
PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800